EXAMPLES OF TILTING-DISCRETE SELF-INJECTIVE ALGEBRAS

TAKAHIDE ADACHI AND RYOICHI KASE

ABSTRACT. In this note, we give two examples of tilting-discrete self-injective algebras which are not silting-discrete.

Notation. Throughout this note, \Bbbk is an algebraically closed field and $\mathbb{D} := \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(-, \Bbbk)$. Let A be a basic connected finite dimensional \Bbbk -algebra and let \mathcal{K}_A denote the bounded homotopy category of finitely generated projective A-modules with shift functor Σ .

Silting mutations. Recall the definition of silting mutations. We refer to [4].

An object $M \in \mathcal{K}_A$ is called a *silting object* if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}_A}(M, \Sigma^i M) = 0$ for all i > 0 and $\mathcal{K}_A = \operatorname{thick} M$, where $\operatorname{thick} M$ is the smallest triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{K}_A containing M and closed under direct summands. We denote by silt A the set of isomorphism classes of basic silting objects in \mathcal{K}_A . By the definition, A is a silting object in \mathcal{K}_A .

Let $M = X \oplus N$ be a basic silting object in \mathcal{K}_A . Take a minimal left $\operatorname{add} N$ -approximation $f: X \to N'$ and a triangle $X \xrightarrow{f} N' \to Y \to \Sigma X$. Then $\mu_X(M) := Y \oplus N$ is a basic silting object in \mathcal{K}_A and called a (*left*) silting mutation of M with respect to X. If X is indecomposable, we call $\mu_X(M)$ an *irreducible silting mutation*.

Silting-discrete algebras. Recall the definition of silting-discrete algebras. We refer to [3, 5]. For two objects $M, N \in \mathcal{K}_A$, we write $M \ge N$ if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}_A}(M, \Sigma^i N) = 0$ for all i > 0. An algebra A is called a *silting-discrete algebra* if for each integer d > 0, the set

$$(d+1)$$
-silt $A := \{N \in \text{silt} A \mid A \ge N \ge \Sigma^d A\}$

is finite. Note that if A is a silting-discrete algebra, then all silting objects in \mathcal{K}_A are obtained by a finite sequence of irreducible silting mutations from A (up to shift). If A is a local algebra, then

$$\operatorname{silt} A = \{ \Sigma^i A \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$$

Hence local algebras are silting-discrete.

We give a characterization of a finite dimensional algebra to be silting-discrete.

Proposition 1 ([5, Theorem 2.4]). An algebra A is silting-discrete if and only if for each silting object M given by iterated irreducible silting mutation from A, the set

$$2_M - \operatorname{silt} A := \{ N \in \operatorname{silt} A \mid M \ge N \ge \Sigma M \}$$

is finite.

The detailed version of this paper will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

Tilting mutations for self-injective algebras. Recall the definition of tilting mutations. We refer to [9].

An object $M \in \mathcal{K}_A$ is called a *tilting object* if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}_A}(M, \Sigma^i M) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{K}_A = \operatorname{thick} M$. We denote by tilt A the set of isomorphism classes of basic tilting objects in \mathcal{K}_A . Clearly, A is a tilting object in \mathcal{K}_A .

By the definition, tilting objects are silting objects. Remark that silting mutations of tilting objects are silting objects but not necessarily tilting objects. However, if A is self-injective, then it is known that special silting mutations of tilting objects are also tilting objects.

In the following, we assume that A is a self-injective algebra. Then the Nakayama functor $\nu_A := - \otimes_A \mathbb{D}A$ gives an auto-equivalence of \mathcal{K}_A . By [6] (and also [3, Theorem A.4]), a silting object $M \in \mathcal{K}_A$ is tilting if and only if it is ν_A -stable (i.e., $\nu_A M \cong M$).

Let $M = X \oplus N$ be a basic tilting object in \mathcal{K}_A with $X \nu_A$ -stable. Note that N is also a ν_A -stable object. Then $\mu_X(M)$ is a ν_A -stable silting object, and hence it is a tilting object. We call $\mu_X(M)$ a *tilting mutation* if X is a ν_A -stable object. Moreover, it is said to be *irreducible* if X is non-zero and satisfies the property that, if $X' \neq 0$ is a ν_A -stable direct summand of X, then X' = X.

Tilting-discrete self-injective algebras. Recall the definition of tilting-discrete algebras. We refer to [5].

An algebra A is called a *tilting-discrete algebra* if for each integer d > 0, the set

(d+1)-tilt $A := tilt A \cap (d+1)$ -siltA

is finite. Note that if A is a tilting-discrete self-injective algebra, then all tilting objects in \mathcal{K}_A are obtained by a finite sequence of irreducible tilting mutations from A (up to shift).

We give an example of tilting-discrete self-injective algebras. The Nakayama functor ν_A is said to be *cyclic* if it acts transitively on the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable projective A-modules.

Proposition 2 ([1, Proposition 2.14]). Let A be a self-injective algebra. If ν_A is cyclic, then

$$\operatorname{tilt} A = \{ \Sigma^i A \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$$

In particular, A is tilting-discrete.

We give a characterization of a finite dimensional self-injective algebra to be tiltingdiscrete.

Proposition 3 ([5, Corollary 2.11]). A self-injective algebra A is a tilting-discrete algebra if and only if for each tilting object M given by iterated irreducible tilting mutation from A, the set 2-tiltEnd_{K₄}(M) is finite.

The first example: trivial tilting-discrete case. Our aim of this note is to give an example of a self-injective algebra A satisfying the following properties.

• tilt $A = \{ \Sigma^i A \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$

• A is not silting-discrete.

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ be a connected finite quiver, where Q_0 is the vertex set and Q_1 is the arrow set. Let $\mathbb{k}Q_l$ denote the subspace of the path algebra $\mathbb{k}Q$ generated by all paths of length of l. Define a new quiver $\widetilde{Q} = (\widetilde{Q}_0, \widetilde{Q}_1)$ as $\widetilde{Q}_0 := Q_0$ and $\widetilde{Q}_1 := Q_1^+ \coprod Q_1^-$, where $Q_1^+ := \{a^+ \mid a \in Q_1\}$ and $Q_1^- := \{a^- \mid a \in Q_1\}$. The correspondences $a \mapsto a^{\pm}$ induce \mathbb{k} -linear isomorphisms $(-)^{\pm} : \mathbb{k}Q_1 \to \mathbb{k}Q_1^{\pm}$ and moreover, they are extended to \mathbb{k} -linear isomorphisms $(-)^{\pm} : \oplus_{l \ge 1} \mathbb{k}Q_l \to \oplus_{l \ge 1} \mathbb{k}Q_l^{\pm}$.

Let $\Lambda := \mathbb{k}Q/I$ be a non-local self-injective algebra, where I is an admissible ideal of $\mathbb{k}Q$. Define a subspace \widetilde{I} of $\mathbb{k}\widetilde{Q}$ as $\widetilde{I} := I^+ + I^- + I^d + I^c$, where $I^d = \langle a^+b^-, a^-b^+ | a, b \in Q_1 \rangle$ and $I^c = \langle s^+ - s^- | s \in \operatorname{soc}\Lambda \rangle$. Since Λ is self-injective, \widetilde{I} is a two-sided ideal of $\mathbb{k}\widetilde{Q}$. Moreover, if $\operatorname{soc}(e_i\Lambda) \subset \operatorname{rad}^2\Lambda$ holds for each $i \in Q_0$, then \widetilde{I} is admissible.

We give an example of \tilde{Q} and \tilde{I} .

Example 4. Let $Q := 1 \xrightarrow[]{a} 2$ and $I = \langle abab, baba \rangle$. Note that $\Lambda := \mathbb{k}Q/I$ is a self-injective algebra. Then we have

$$\widetilde{Q} = 1 \underbrace{\overset{a^+}{\overbrace{b^-}}}_{b^+} 2$$

and

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{I} &= \langle a^+b^+a^+b^+, \ b^+a^+b^+a^+, \ a^-b^-a^-b^-, \ b^-a^-b^-a^-, \ a^+b^-, \ a^-b^+, \\ & b^+a^-, \ b^-a^+, \ a^+b^+a^+ - a^-b^-a^-, \ b^+a^+b^+ - b^-a^-b^- \rangle. \\ &= \langle a^+b^-, \ a^-b^+, \ b^+a^-, b^-a^+, \ a^+b^+a^+ - a^-b^-a^-, \ b^+a^+b^+ - b^-a^-b^- \rangle. \end{split}$$

In the following, we assume that $\operatorname{soc}(e_i\Lambda) \subset \operatorname{rad}^2\Lambda$ holds for each $i \in Q_0$. The bound quiver algebra $A := \Bbbk \widetilde{Q}/\widetilde{I}$ has the following properties.

Proposition 5. The algebra A is a basic self-injective algebra. Moreover, ν_{Λ} is cyclic if and only if ν_{A} is cyclic.

The following theorem is one of main results of this note.

Theorem 6. Assume that ν_{Λ} is cyclic. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) tilt $A = \{ \Sigma^i A \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \}$. In particular, A is a tilting-discrete algebra.
- (2) A is not a silting-discrete algebra.

Proof. By Proposition 5, A is a self-injective algebra.

(1) By Proposition 5, ν_A is cyclic. Thus the assertion follows from Proposition 2.

(2) Since I is admissible, A contains the path algebra of the Kronecker quiver as a factor algebra. Thus it follows from [10, Corollary 1.9] that 2-silt A is not finite. Hence A is not a silting-discrete algebra.

The second example: non-trivial tilting-discrete case. For integers $i \leq j$, let $[i, j] := \{i, i + 1, ..., j - 1, j\}$. Let n, m be positive integers. Define a quiver $\mathbb{T}_{n,m} := (\mathbb{T}_0, \mathbb{T}_1)$, where \mathbb{T}_0 is the vertex set and \mathbb{T}_1 is the arrow set, as follows:

• $\mathbb{T}_0 := \{(i,r) \mid i \in [1,n], r \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}\},$ • $\mathbb{T}_1 := \{a_{i,r} : (i,r) \to (i+1,r) \mid i \in [1,n-1], r \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}\}$ $\coprod \{b_{i,r} : (i,r) \to (i-1,r+1) \mid i \in [2,n], r \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}\}.$

Formally, put $a_{0,r} = a_{n,r} = b_{1,r} = b_{n+1,r} = 0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. We define an algebra $A_{n,m}$ as the bound quiver algebra $\mathbb{k}\mathbb{T}_{n,m}/I$, where I is the two-sided ideal generated by $a_{i,r}b_{i+1,r} - b_{i,r}a_{i-1,r+1}$ for all $i \in [1, n]$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Note that $A_{n,m}$ is a self-injective algebra (see [7, 8]). Then we have the following theorem, which is a main result of this note.

Theorem 7. Let $n, m \ge 5$ be integers satisfying gcd(n-1,m) = 1. Assume that n is an odd number and m is not divisible by the characteristic of \Bbbk . Then $A_{n,m}$ is a tilting-discrete algebra but not silting-discrete.

To prove Theorem 7, we need the following two propositions (for the proofs, see [2]).

Proposition 8. Let n, m be positive integers. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) Assume that $n, m \ge 5$. Then 2-silt $A_{n,m}$ is not a finite set. In particular, $A_{n,m}$ is not a silting-discrete algebra.
- (2) Assume that gcd(n-1,m) = 1 and m is not divisible by the characteristic of k. Then 2-tilt $A_{n,m}$ is a finite set.

Proposition 9. Assume that gcd(n-1,m) = 1 and n is an odd number. If M is a tilting object in $\mathcal{K}_{A_{n,m}}$ given by iterated irreducible tilting mutation from $A_{n,m}$, then the endomorphism algebra $End_{\mathcal{K}_{A_{n,m}}}(M)$ is isomorphic to $A_{n,m}$.

We give a proof of Theorem 7.

Proof of Theorem 7. By Proposition 8(1), $A_{n,m}$ is not a silting-discrete algebra. We show that $A_{n,m}$ is a tilting-discrete algebra. By Proposition 3, it is enough to show that for each tilting object M given by iterated irreducible tilting mutation from $A_{n,m}$, the set 2-tiltEnd_{$\mathcal{K}_{A_{n,m}}$} (M) is a finite. By Proposition 9, the endomorphism algebra End_{$\mathcal{K}_{A_{n,m}}$} (M) is isomorphic to $A_{n,m}$. Hence it follows from Proposition 8(2) that 2-tiltEnd_{$\mathcal{K}_{A_{n,m}}$} (M) is a finite set. Thus $A_{n,m}$ is tilting-discrete. \Box

References

- H. Abe, M. Hoshino, On derived equivalences for selfinjective algebras, Comm. Algebra 34 (2006), no. 12, 4441–4452.
- [2] T. Adachi, R. Kase, Examples of tilting-discrete self-injective algebras which are not silting-discrete, arXiv:2012.14119.
- [3] T. Aihara, *Tilting-connected symmetric algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **16** (2013), no. 3, 873–894.
- [4] T. Aihara, O. Iyama, Silting mutation in triangulated categories, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 85 (2012), no. 3, 633–668.
- [5] T. Aihara, Y. Mizuno, Classifying tilting complexes over preprojective algebras of Dynkin type, Algebra Number Theory 11 (2017), no. 6, 1287–1315.
- S. Al-Nofayee, J. Rickard, Rigidity of tilting complexes and derived equivalence for self-injective algebras, arXiv:1311.0504.
- [7] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, Stable equivalence of Artin algebras, Proceedings of the Conference on Orders, Group Rings and Related Topics (Ohio State Univ., Columbus, Ohio, 1972), pp. 8–71. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 353, Springer, Berlin (1973).

- [8] R.O. Buchweitz, Finite representation type and periodic Hochschild (co-)homology, Trends in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras (Seattle, WA, 1997), 81–109, Contemp. Math., 229, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [9] A. Chan, S. Koenig, Y. Liu, Simple-minded systems, configurations and mutations for representationfinite self-injective algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 219 (2015), no. 6, 1940–1961.
- [10] L. Demonet, O. Iyama, N. Reading, I. Reiten, H. Thomas, Lattice theory of torsion classes, arXiv:1711.01785v2.

TAKAHIDE ADACHI FACULTY OF GLOBAL AND SCIENCE STUDIES YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY 1677-1 YOSHIDA, YAMAGUCHI 753-8541 JAPAN *Email address*: tadachi@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

RYOICHI KASE FACULTY OF INFORMATICS OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE 1-1 RIDAICHO, KITA-KU, OKAYAMA 700-0005 JAPAN *Email address:* r-kase@mis.ous.ac.jp