# ALGEBRAIC STRATIFICATIONS OF DERIVED MODULE CATEGORIES AND DERIVED SIMPLE ALGEBRAS

### DONG YANG

ABSTRACT. In this note I will survey on some recent progress in the study of recollements of derived module categories.

*Key Words:* Recollement, Algebraic stratification, Derived simple algebra. 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 16E35.

The notion of recollement of triangulated categories was introduced in [5] as an analogue of short exact sequence of modules or groups. In representation theory of algebras it provides us with reduction techniques, which have proved very useful, for example, in

- proving conjectures on homological dimensions, see [9];
- computing homological invariants, see [11, 12];
- classifying *t*-structures, see [14].

In this note I will survey on some recent progress in the study of recollements of derived module categories.

### 1. Recollements

Let k be a field. For a k-algebra A denote by  $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{Mod}\,A)$  the (unbounded) derived category of the category  $\mathsf{Mod}\,A$  of right A-modules. The objects of  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  are complexes of right A-modules. The category  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is triangulated with shift functor  $\Sigma$ being the shift of complexes. See [10] for a nice introduction on derived categories.

A *recollement* of derived module categories is a diagram of derived module categories and triangle functors



where A, B and C are k-algebras, such that

- (1)  $(i^*, i_* = i_!, i^!)$  and  $(j_!, j^! = j^*, j_*)$  are adjoint triples;
- (2)  $j_!, i_*$  and  $j_*$  are fully faithful;
- (3)  $j^*i_* = 0;$

The detailed /final/ version of this paper will be /has been/ submitted for publication elsewhere.

-256-

(4) for every object M of  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  there are two triangles

$$i_!i^!M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow j_*j^*M \longrightarrow \Sigma i_!i^!M$$

and

$$j_!j^!M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow i_*i^*M \longrightarrow \Sigma j_!j^!M$$

where the four morphisms starting from and ending at M are the units and counits.

Necessary and sufficient conditions under which such a recollement exists were discussed in [13, 16].

**Example 1.** Let A be the path algebra of the Kronecker quiver

$$1 \Longrightarrow 2$$
.

The trivial path  $e_1$  at 1 is an idempotent of A and  $e_1A$  is a projective A-module. The following diagram is a recollement

$$\mathcal{D}(A/Ae_{1}A) \xrightarrow{? \bigotimes_{A}A/Ae_{1}A} \mathcal{D}(A) \xrightarrow{? \bigotimes_{e_{1}Ae_{1}}e_{1}A} \mathcal{D}(A) \xrightarrow{? \bigotimes_{e_{1}Ae_{1}}e_{1}A} \mathcal{D}(e_{1}Ae_{1}).$$

$$\mathbb{R}\mathsf{Hom}_{A}(A/Ae_{1}A,?) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{R}\mathsf{Hom}_{e_{1}Ae_{1}}(Ae_{1},?)} \mathcal{D}(e_{1}Ae_{1}).$$

Note that both  $e_1Ae_1$  and  $A/Ae_1A$  are isomorphic to k.

## 2. Algebraic stratifications of derived module categories

Let A be an algebra. An algebraic stratification of  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is a sequence of iterated nontrivial recollements of derived module categories. It can be depicted as a binary tree as below, where each edge represents an adjoint triple of triangle functors and each hook represents a recollement



The leaves of the tree are the *simple factors* of the stratification. The following questions are basic:

- (a) Does every derived module category admit a finite algebraic stratification?
- (b) Do two finite algebraic stratifications of a derived module category have the same number of simple factors? Do they have the same simple factors (up to triangle equivalence and up to reordering)?
- (c) Which derived module categories occur as simple factors of some algebraic stratifications?

The question (c) will be discussed in the next section. The questions (a) and (b) ask for a Jordan–Hölder type result for derived module categories. For general (possibly infinitedimensional) algebras the answers are negative. Below we give some (counter-)examples.

**Example 2.** ([2]) Let  $A = \prod_{\mathbb{N}} k$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  does not admit a finite algebraic stratification.

**Example 3.** ([6]) Let A be as in Example 1. Let V be a regular simple A-module, namely, V corresponds to one of the following representations of the Kronecker quiver

$$k \xrightarrow{1}_{\lambda} k \quad (\lambda \in k), \qquad k \xrightarrow{0}_{1} k \;.$$

Let  $\varphi : A \to A_V$  be the corresponding universal localisation. Then  $T = A \oplus A_V / \varphi(A)$  is an (infinitely generated) tilting A-module. We refer to [6] for the unexplained notions.

Let  $B = \text{End}_A(T)$ . Then there are two algebraic stratifications of  $\mathcal{D}(B)$  of length 3 and 2 respectively :



Examples of this type are systematically studied in [7].

Notice that the algebra B in the preceding example is infinite-dimensional. For finitedimensional algebras, the questions (a) and (b) are open. For piecewise hereditary algebras the answers to them are positive. Recall that a finite-dimensional algebra is *piecewise hereditary* if it is derived equivalent to a hereditary abelian category.

**Theorem 4.** ([1, 3]) Let A be a piecewise hereditary algebra. Then any algebraic stratification of  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  has the same set (with multiplicities) of simple factors: they are precisely the derived categories of the endomorphism algebras of the simple A-modules.

-258-

### 3. Derived simple algebras

An algebra is said to be *derived simple* if its derived category does not admit any non-trivial recollements of derived module categories. For example, the field k is derived simple. Derived simple algebras are precisely those algebras whose derived categories occur as simple factors of some algebraic stratifications.

**Example 5.** ([17, 4]) Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let A be the algebra given by the quiver

$$1 \xrightarrow[\beta]{\alpha} 2$$

with relations  $(\alpha\beta)^n = 0 = (\beta\alpha)^n$  or with relations  $(\alpha\beta)^n\alpha = 0 = \beta(\alpha\beta)^n$ . Then A is derived simple.

**Example 6.** ([8]) There are finite-dimensional derived simple algebras of finite global dimension. In [8], Happel constructed a family of finite-dimensional algebras  $A_m$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ) such that

- the global dimension of  $A_m$  is 6m 3,
- $-A_m$  is derived simple.

All these algebras have exactly two isomorphism classes of simple modules. For example,  $A_1$  is given by the quiver

$$1 \xrightarrow[\gamma]{\alpha} 2$$

with relations  $\beta \alpha = 0 = \gamma \beta$ .

The classification of derived simple algebras turns out to be a wild problem. Besides those in the above examples, only a few families of algebras have been shown to be derived simple.

**Theorem 7.** The following algebras are derived simple:

- (a) ([2]) local algebras,
- (b) ([2]) simple artinian algebras,
- (c) ([4]) indecomposable commutative algebras,
- (d) ([15]) blocks of finite group algebras.

Sketch of the proof for (d): First recall that a block of an algebra is an indecomposable algebra direct summand.

Step 1: Let A, B and C be finite-dimensional algebras such that there is a recollement of the form (1.1). Then  $i_*(B)$  and  $j_!(C)$  has no self-extensions. Moreover,  $i_*(B) \in \mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\,A), \ j_!(C) \in K^b(\mathsf{proj}\,A)$  and  $i^*(A) \in K^b(\mathsf{proj}\,B)$ . Here  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod})$  denotes the bounded derived category of finite-dimensional modules and  $K^b(\mathsf{proj})$  denotes the homotopy category of bounded complexes of finite-dimensional projective modules. They can be considered as triangulated subcategories of the (unbounded) derived category. Step 2: Let A be a finite-dimensional symmetric algebra, *i.e.*  $D(A) \cong A$  as A-A-bimodules. Here  $D = \operatorname{Hom}_k(?, k)$  is the k-dual. Then for  $M, N \in K^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$ , we have

$$D \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, N) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_A(N, M).$$

Step 3: Let A be a finite-dimensional symmetric algebra satisfying the following condition

(#) for any finite-dimensional A-module M, the space  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathsf{Ext}^i_A(M, M)$  is infinite-dimensional.

Let  $M \in \mathcal{D}^b(\operatorname{mod} A)$ . Then either  $M \in K^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$  or the space  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, \Sigma^i M)$  is infinite-dimensional.

Step 4: Let G be a finite group. Then the group algebra kG satisfies the condition (#). So each block of kG is a finite-dimensional indecomposable symmetric algebra satisfying the condition (#).

Step 5: Let A be a finite-dimensional indecomposable symmetric algebra satisfying the condition (#). Then A is derived simple.

To show this, suppose on the contrary that there is a non-trivial recollement of the form (1.1). Then there is a triangle

(3.1) 
$$j_!j^!(A) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow i_*i^*(A) \longrightarrow \Sigma j_!j^!(A).$$

By Steps 1 and 3, we know that  $i_*(B) \in K^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$ , which implies that  $i_*i^*(A) \in K^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$ , and hence  $j_!j^!(A) \in K^b(\operatorname{proj} A)$  as well. For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

(3.2) 
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(j_{!}j^{!}(A), \Sigma^{n}i_{*}i^{*}(A)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(j^{!}(A), \Sigma^{n}j^{*}i_{*}i^{*}(A)) = 0,$$

where the first equality follows from the adjointness of  $j_{!}$  and  $j^{*}$ , and the second one follows from the fact that  $j^{*}i_{*} = 0$  (the third condition in the definition of a recollement). It then follows from the formula in Step 2 that for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

(3.3) 
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(i_{*}i^{*}(A), \Sigma^{n}j_{!}j^{!}(A)) = 0.$$

Taking n = 1, we see that the triangle (3.1) splits, and hence  $A = j_! j^! (A) \oplus i_* i^* (A)$ . The formulas (3.2) and (3.3) for n = 0 say that there are no morphisms between  $j_! j^! (A)$  and  $i_* i^* (A)$ . Thus we have

$$A = \operatorname{End}_A(A) = \operatorname{End}_A(j_!j^!(A) \oplus i_*i^*(A)) = \operatorname{End}_A(j_!j^!(A)) \oplus \operatorname{End}_A(i_*i^*(A)),$$

contradicting the assumption that A is indecomposable.

### References

- [1] Lidia Angeleri Hügel, Steffen Koenig, and Qunhua Liu, On the uniqueness of stratifications of derived module categories, J. Algebra, to appear. Also arXiv:1006.5301.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, Recollements and tilting objects, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 215 (2011), no. 4, 420–438.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, Jordan-Hölder theorems for derived module categories of piecewise hereidtary algebras, J. Algebra 352 (2012), 361–381.

- [4] Lidia Angeleri Hügel, Steffen Koenig, Qunhua Liu, and Dong Yang, *Derived simple algebras and restrictions of recollements of derived module categories*, preprint, 2012.
- [5] Alexander A. Beilinson, Joseph Bernstein, and Pierre Deligne, Analyse et topologie sur les espaces singuliers, Astérisque, vol. 100, Soc. Math. France, 1982 (French).
- [6] Hongxing Chen and Changchang Xi, Good tilting modules and recollements of derived module categories, Proc. Lon. Math. Soc, to appear. Also arXiv:1012.2176.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_, Stratifications of derived categories from tilting modules over tame hereditary algebras, preprint, 2011, arXiv:1107.0444.
- [8] Dieter Happel, A family of algebras with two simple modules and Fibonacci numbers, Arch. Math. (Basel) 57 (1991), no. 2, 133–139.
- [9] \_\_\_\_\_, Reduction techniques for homological conjectures, Tsukuba J. Math. 17 (1993), no. 1, 115– 130.
- [10] Bernhard Keller, Introduction to abelian and derived categories, Representations of reductive groups, Publ. Newton Inst., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998, pp. 41–61.
- [11] \_\_\_\_\_, Invariance and localization for cyclic homology of DG algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 123 (1998), no. 1-3, 223–273.
- [12] Steffen Koenig and Hiroshi Nagase, Hochschild cohomologies and stratifying ideals, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 213 (2009), no. 4, 886–891.
- [13] Steffen König, Tilting complexes, perpendicular categories and recollements of derived module categories of rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 73 (1991), no. 3, 211–232.
- [14] Qunhua Liu and Jorge Vitória, t-structures via recollements for piecewise hereditary algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 216 (2012), 837–849.
- [15] Qunhua Liu and Dong Yang, Blocks of group algebras are derived simple, in press, doi:10.1007/s00209-011-0963-y. Also arXiv:1104.0500.
- [16] Pedro Nicolás and Manuel Saorín, Parametrizing recollement data for triangulated categories, J. Algebra 322 (2009), no. 4, 1220–1250.
- [17] Alfred Wiedemann, On stratifications of derived module categories, Canad. Math. Bull. 34 (1991), no. 2, 275–280.

UNIVERSITÄT STUTTGART INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRA UND ZAHLENTHEORIE PFAFFENWALDRING 57, D-70569 STUTTGART, GERMANY

*E-mail address*: dongyang2002@gmail.com